

2022 APPLICATION FORM Project Funding for Local Initiatives (LI) Construction Projects

Project Title Applicant

(2)

| description of the projec | ct and what it will accomplish. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | ii |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | e right-of-way where the project will be g that the project may be constructed as |
| | |
| etter from owner allowi | ing project to be built. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | inistered and constructed by FDOT. For projects |
| | roject or ask FDOT to administer the project on |
| | ram (LAP) agreement to administer Federal Aid |
| <u>r Program Ivianuai</u> (topi | c no. 525 010 300J. |
| <u>:</u> | Funded by: |
| consultant ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | ☐ Applicant (required if locally administered |
| es prior approval) | ☐ TPA (if FDOT administered) |
| consultant (1) | ☐ Applicant |
| | |
| | □ ТРА |
| | |
| consultant (1)(2) | ☐ Applicant (if locally administered project) |
| | ☐ TPA (if FDOT administered project) |
| 1 11 7 | , |
| | |
| _ | work for critical projects (a project is considered criticand/or has a budget greater than \$10 million) |
| | reprogram Manual (toping) consultant (1)(2) es prior approval) consultant (1) es prior approval) consultant (1)(2) es prior approval) consultant (1)(2) es prior approval) |

Design consultant and CEI consultant shall not be the same.

APPLICANT CONTACT INFORMATION

Contact Person

Title

Email

Phone Number

Address

PROJECT LOCATION

Road Name

Road Number

Project Limit Begin

Project Limit End

QUALIFYING ACTIVITIES FOR FUNDING

Check the box that represents the majority of the work the proposed project will address. <u>Eligible activities</u> must be consistent with details described under 23 U.S.C. 133(b).

Complete Street projects - Including Lane Narrowing, Lane Elimination, Bicycle Facilities, Pedestrian Facilities, Transit Infrastructure, Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), Median Modifications, Signing and lighting, Turn Lanes, Traffic Signals, Striping and Marking.

Transit capital - purchases of vehicles, shelters, park-and-ride facilities. Can also pay up to 50% of a 3-year turn-key contract for new transit service (e.g. trolley service)

Non-motorized infrastructure - Separated, Buffered and/or designated bike lanes, Sidewalks, Shared-use paths, Street lighting

Freight efficiency - Airport or seaport off-site capacity improvements, Railway capacity improvements, Truck movement improvements.

Other eligible activities not specified above

PROJECT TYPICAL SECTION INFORMATION

Complete the following information for <u>existing & proposed</u> features, dimensions, & right of way lines. The typical section information provides an understanding of the spacing requirement differences between the existing facilities and the proposed facilities.

| | EXISTING | PROPOSED |
|--|-----------------|----------|
| RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTH | | |
| Project must fit within current ROW, acquisition is not permitted | | |
| | | |
| PEDESTRIAN OR MULTIUSE FACILITIES | | |
| Length (miles) for one direction. Do not count both sides of roadway. | | |
| Width on North or West side (feet)* | | |
| Width on South or East side (feet)* | | |
| | | |
| BICYCLE FACILITIES | | |
| Bike Lane Length (feet) for one direction. Do not count both sides of roadway. | | |
| Width on North or West side (feet)* (not Including Buffer Width) | | |
| Buffer Width on North or West side (feet)* | | |
| Width on South or East side (feet)* (not Including Buffer Width) | | |
| Buffer Width on South or East side (feet)* | | |
| Proposed improvements requires: | | |
| Pavement widening Sharrows Restriping | | |
| MOTORIZED VEHICLE FACILITIES | | |
| Number of Through Travel Lanes | | |
| Typical Through Lane Width (feet) | | |
| Total Width* (No. Travel Lanes x Lane Width) | | |
| Posted Speed Limit | | |
| Design Speed (if known) | | |
| | | |
| MEDIAN/SWALE/CURB | | |
| Median/Center Turning Lane Width (feet)* | | |
| Swale Width (feet)* | | |
| Curb Width (feet)* | | |
| | | |
| *TOTAL WIDTH OF ALL COMPONENTS (Add all rows with red for total width) Must | | |
| fit within existing right-of-way. | | |

RIGHT-OF-WAY OWNERSHIP DOCUMENTATION

Describe the project's existing right-of-way ownerships. This description shall identify when the right-of-way was acquired and how ownership is documented (i.e. plats, deeds, prescriptions, certified surveys, easements). If right-of-way is an easement, please describe the easement language. Right-of-way ownership verification documents are a required attachment (see submittal checklist on page 9).

PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK

Describe how the proposed improvements will affect the following:

| ADA items | crosswalks. | sidewalks | ramps | . etc.) | ١ |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|---|
| , | (| 0.00.000 | , | ,, | , |

All proposed crosswalks, sidewalks, ramps, etc. comply with ADA standards YES NO

Access Management revisions (median modifications, impacts to driveways, etc.) YES NO

Pedestrian and/or Roadway Lighting

Pedestrian lighting proposed YES NO Roadway lighting proposed YES NO

Landscape (with Local Funds)

Median landscape proposed YES NO Other landscape proposed YES NO

Irrigation items proposed YES NO

Signalization

Pedestrian signals proposed YES NO Existing signalization to be replaced YES NO

New traffic signalization proposed YES NO

Transit Improvements

Queue jump possible YES NO Transit provider concurrence provided YES NO

Upgrade existing bus bay area YES NO

School Zone Modifications

Existing flashing school zone signal on state road YES NO High emphasis cross walk at unsignalized crossing YES NO

| Utility Modifications | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|------|
| Sub-surface relocation is required | d YES | NC |) | Utility coordi | nation is required | YES | NO |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Drainage and/or other Permits | | | | | | | |
| Existing closed drainage system to | remain | YE | S NO | | | | |
| Existing open drainage system to | remain | YE | S NO | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Railroad Crossing Modifications | | | | | | | |
| Rail crossing within limits | YES | NO | Rep | olace railroad signal e | equipment and gat | es YES | s NC |
| G | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Bridge Modifications | VEC | NO | | Duides vanlassus | mt VEC N | • | |
| Proposed pedestrian bridge | YES | NO | | Bridge replaceme | nt YES N | U | |
| Proposed bridge widening | YES | NO | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Additional Information | | | | | | | |
| Other Scope Items | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Summarize any special characteri | istics of t | he proje | ect. | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Identify any upcoming projects of | r project | s curren | tly underv | vay adjacent to the p | proposed project. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Other specific project information that should be considered.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND SUPPORT

Describe the public outreach and support for the project (i.e. petitions, written endorsements, resolutions, etc.). Attach documentation.

Projects impacting single family residential lots will require additional documentation of public support. Additional documentation must indicate that all property owners directly affected by the improvement were notified, that at least 25 percent of the property owners showed support for the project, and that no more than 10 percent of the property owners showed lack of support.

PROJECT MAINTENANCE

Document ownership and maintenance responsibilities for the project when complete. Applicant's Resolution of Support and commitment to fund operations and maintenance of the project is a required attachment. See submittal checklist on page 9).

COST ESTIMATE

The total construction amount of Local Initiatives Program funds requested per projects (infrastructure) must be in excess of \$250,000 with a maximum project amount of \$5,000,000.

Local Initiatives Program funds will be used to fund Construction, Construction Engineering and Inspection Activities (CEI) and FDOT in-house support activities. The applicant may also request that Local Initiative Program funds be used for project Design, Environmental Services, and Post Design Services.

Local Funds (LF) will be used for all non-participating items, contingency activities, and any costs in excess of the awarded funding (LI) allocation.

- (a) Provide detailed project cost estimate using one of the following forms. Estimate shall be broken down to eligible and non-eligible project costs. Estimates are to be prepared and signed by a Professional Engineer from the Local Agency's Engineering office.
 - a. On-System (on State Highway System) Cost Estimate Sheet
 - b. Off-System (not on State Highway System) Cost Estimate Sheet

Use the following links to access the basis of estimates manual as well as historical information for the project area.

Basis of Estimates Manual Historical Cost Information

CERTIFICATION OF PROJECT SPONSOR

| have complied with the Federal U Right of Way actions intended for will comply with NEPA process pri Historic and Preservation Office (S support other actions necessary to rtify that the estimated costs including ficant increase to the local agency | Iniform Relocation Assistance and Acquisition Policies Act for any this project previously performed within the project limits; ior to construction, which may involve coordination with the State (SHPO); and to fully implement the proposed project. Indeed herein are reasonable, and that increases in these costs could required participation. I understand failure to follow through or Department of Transportation's Work Program is not allowed. |
|--|--|
| have complied with the Federal U Right of Way actions intended for will comply with NEPA process pri Historic and Preservation Office (S support other actions necessary to rtify that the estimated costs including ficant increase to the local agency | Uniform Relocation Assistance and Acquisition Policies Act for any this project previously performed within the project limits; ior to construction, which may involve coordination with the State SHPO); and to fully implement the proposed project. Indeed herein are reasonable, and that increases in these costs could required participation. I understand failure to follow through or Department of Transportation's Work Program is not allowed. |
| Right of Way actions intended for will comply with NEPA process pri Historic and Preservation Office (Saupport other actions necessary to rtify that the estimated costs includicant increase to the local agency | this project previously performed within the project limits; ior to construction, which may involve coordination with the State SHPO); and to fully implement the proposed project. Ided herein are reasonable, and that increases in these costs could required participation. I understand failure to follow through or Department of Transportation's Work Program is not allowed. |
| will comply with NEPA process pri Historic and Preservation Office (S support other actions necessary to rtify that the estimated costs including ficant increase to the local agency | ior to construction, which may involve coordination with the State SHPO); and to fully implement the proposed project. Ided herein are reasonable, and that increases in these costs could required participation. I understand failure to follow through or Department of Transportation's Work Program is not allowed. |
| Historic and Preservation Office (S support other actions necessary to rtify that the estimated costs including ficant increase to the local agency | SHPO); and so fully implement the proposed project. Ided herein are reasonable, and that increases in these costs could required participation. I understand failure to follow through or Department of Transportation's Work Program is not allowed. |
| support other actions necessary to rtify that the estimated costs including ficant increase to the local agency | to fully implement the proposed project. Ided herein are reasonable, and that increases in these costs could required participation. I understand failure to follow through or Department of Transportation's Work Program is not allowed. |
| rtify that the estimated costs includicant increase to the local agency | ded herein are reasonable, and that increases in these costs could required participation. I understand failure to follow through or Department of Transportation's Work Program is not allowed. |
| icant increase to the local agency | required participation. I understand failure to follow through or Department of Transportation's Work Program is not allowed. |
| | Signature |
| | Name (please type or print) |
| | |
| | Title |
| | Date |
| | |

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

☐ No

☐ No

☐ No

☐ No

Application Complete

Implementation Feasible

Include in Work Program

Project Eligible





ENGINEERS COST ESTIMATE

(Use for Off-System Projects - Administered through LAP)

FM# 449850-1

| | | Proje | ect Descr | ription: SFRTA | Rolli | ng Stock | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------|--|---|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | | FHWA Participating | | | | | FHWA non-participating (Local funds) | | | 1 | | | |
| Pay Item Number* | y Item Number* Pay Item Description* | | Unit | Engineer's Unit | | | | Engineer's Unit Cost | | Total Quantity | Total Engineer | ngineer's Cost | |
| | Commuter Rail Rolling Stock | 1 | each | \$ 3,500,000.00 | \$ | 3,500,000.00 | | | | \$ | 1 | \$ | 3,500,000.0 |
| | | | | | \$ | | | | | s - | 0 | \$ | |
| | | 5 F (F | | | 5 | 2.1 | 7 | | | \$ - | 0 | Ś | |
| 1 | | | | | \$ | | | | | s . | 0 | s | |
| | | Funds | for Construct | tion (Phase 58) | \$ | 3,500,000.00 | Local Funds | for Construc | ction (Phase 58) | \$. | Subtotal | \$ | 3,500,000. |
| DOT IN-HOUSE DE | ESIGN SUPPORT (Phase 31) (REQUIRED) | 1 1 | LS | \$5,000 | T è | | 1000 | | | | | | |
| | T IN-HOUSE DESIGN SUPPORT FOR CRITICAL PROJECTS | 0 | LS | \$2,000 | c | | EDOT In-Hou | ica Support i | must be included | l ac an EUMA Participating | | | |
| | DNSTRUCTION SUPPORT (Phase 61) (REQUIRED) | 1 | LS | \$5,000 | 5 | | FDOT In-House Support must be included as an FHWA Participating Item | | | | | | |
| | T IN-HOUSE CONSTRUCTION SUPPORT FOR CRITICAL PROJECTS | 0 | LS | \$2,000 | 5 | | | | item | | | | |
| | AL FUNDS FOR DESIGN Reimbursable item | | | | 1 | LS | | S | 19 19 | | | | |
| ONTINGENCY (Pha | ase 58) (REQUIRED) | | Contingency | is not a FHWA Partic | ipating | ltem | 1 | LS | 20% | \$ | | | |
| RANSIT RELATED F | PROJECTS (10% FTA ADMINISTRATIVE FEE) | | | Fee is not a FHWA Pa | | | 0 | LS | 10% | \$. | | | |
| ONSTRUCTION EN | GINEERING & INSPECTION ACTIVITIES (CEI) (Phase 68) | | | Reimbursable iten | _ | | 1 | 15 | 3% | \$ | | | |
| DOT OVERSIGHT O | CEI (3% OF TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE) (Phase 62) (REQUIRED) | 1 | LS | 3% | | | FDOT In-Hou | use Support | | as an FHWA Participating | | | |
| | | | | | | 3,500,000.00 iubtotal FHWA Participating | | | | Subtotal FHWA Non- Participating | | | 3,500,000.t instruction Co stimate |
| Projects on the Sta | ate Highway System and Critical Projects <u>SHALL</u> utilize FDOT pay items numbers and | descriptions | | | 1 | red by: | | Nio | PE Number | tom | 2-8 | -)Q | 22 |
| - Mowing & Litter r | removal | | | | Vicki G | | | Signature: | 4)6 | | Date: | | |
| | t; Optional services; Survey; Video inspection; MOT plans preparation; As-builts/reco s includes, but is not limited to: valve adjustments, utility relocations, FPL power pol | | directional b | oore, etc | Revie | wed by: | | , , | 2 | .00 | 0.0 | 00 | |
| | ly be non-participating; the ones listed above are the commonly used pay items that | t are non-participatir | ng. | | Loraine: | e Cargill | | Signature: | 'argi | ll | 2-9 | -22 | |
| f you have any que | estions regarding an eligible or non-participating item, please contact District Four Lo | ocal Program Unit. | | | 11 | oved by (Agency R | esponsible (| Charge): | | 11 | | 2/1 | 1/2022 |

Steven L. Abrams

| Item Description | Cost \$ |
|---|----------------|
| (A) TOTAL Construction Cost Estimate (1) | \$3,500,000.00 |
| (B) Cost Estimate of Eligible (participating) items (2) | \$3,500,000_00 |

| Funding Breakdown | Fund Source | Cost \$ |
|--|-------------|---------|
| (C) FDOT In-House Design Support (phase 31) (3) | TAP | \$0.00 |
| (D) (Critical projects only) FDOT In-House Design Support (phase 31) (3) | TAP | \$0,00 |
| (E) FDOT In-House Construction Support (phase 61) (3) | TAP | \$0.00 |
| (F) (Critical projects only) FDOT In-House Construction Support (phase 61) (3) | TAP | \$0.00 |
| (G) TAP funds requested for Construction (phase 58) | TAP | \$0.00 |
| (H) Local Funds for Construction (phase 58) | LF | \$0.00 |
| (I) Local Funds for Contingency (phase 58) | LF | \$0.00 |
| (J) Local Funds for Design | LF | \$0.00 |
| (K) Construction Engineering & Inspection Activities (CEI) (phase 68 - optional) (4) | TAP | \$0,00 |
| (L) Construction Engineering & Inspection Activities (CEI) (phase 68) (4) | LF | \$0.00 |
| (M) Transit Related projects FTA 10% administrative fees (5) | LF | \$0.00 |
| (N) FDOT Oversight CEI (3% of TOTAL Construction Cost Estimate) (phase 62) (6) | TAP | \$0.00 |

| Funding Summary | |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| (O) Total TAP funds (7) | \$0.00 |
| (P) Total LF funds | \$0.00 |
| (Q) Total Funds | \$3,500,000.00 |

Notes:

- (1) The Total Construction Cost Estimate in this field must be equal to the Total Construction Cost Estimate from the attached detailed project cost estimate.
- (2) Cost Estimate of Eligible (participating) items must be equal to the Subtotal FHWA Participating from the attached detailed project cost estimate.
- (3) FDOT In-House Design and Construction Support must be included in TAP funds for an amount no less than \$5,000, an additional \$2,000 is required for critical projects. This is a required item.
- (4) It is strongly recommended that the applicant allocates a nominal amount for CEI. In the event that the project is programmed without any request for Phase 68 funding, there is no opportunity to allocate CEI funds based on bid savings.
- (5) Any required Federal Transit Authority (FTA) administrative fees must be included in Local Funds.
- (6) FDOT Oversight CEI must be included in TAP funds and be equal to 3% of the Total Construction Cost Estimate.
- (7) Use of the term TAP represents the actual funding codes of TALT, TALU and TALL

Right-of-Way Ownership Verification

This is to be provided by FDOT.

801 NW 33rd Street | Pompano Beach, Florida 33064 | P 954-942-7245 | F 954-788-7961 | www.sfrta.fl.gov

February 10, 2022

Valerie Neilson, AICP Interim Executive Director Palm Beach Transportation Planning Agency 301 Datura Street West Palm Beach, Fl 33401

Re: **Letter of Commitment for 2022 Local Initiatives Program Application for SFRTA Rolling Stock**

Dear Ms. Neilson:

The South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (SFRTA) desires to purchase new rolling stock capital equipment to increase capacity of the Tri-Rail Commuter Rail Service to address service and ridership increases, improve regional mobility and enhance the passenger experience at Tri-Rail stations in Palm Beach County.

SFRTA's actions in support of this commitment include the following:

- On December 10, 2021, the SFRTA Governing Board approved Resolution No. 21-05 in support for this project.
- The project is listed in the SFRTA Transit Development Plan.
- The project has been listed as a priority project in SFRTA's 20-Year Capital Plan.
- The project is in the Transit Desires Section of the approved Palm Beach TPA 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan.

If funded through the Palm Beach TPA, SFRTA commits to administer and construct the project as applied for in this grant submittal.

Sincerely,

Executive Director

SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY GOVERNING BOARD MEETING: DECEMBER 10, 2021

AGENDA ITEM REPORT

| \boxtimes | Consent | Regular |
|-------------|---------|----------|
| | COMBUNE | ILOSOIGI |

PALM BEACH TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY LOCAL INITIATIVES PROGRAM FUNDS – RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT

REQUESTED ACTION:

MOTION TO APPROVE: Resolution of Support No. 21-05 for the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (SFRTA) to seek Local Initiatives program funds for new rolling stock via an application through the Palm Beach Transportation Planning Agency (TPA).

SUMMARY EXPLANATION AND BACKGROUND:

The Palm Beach TPA administers the Local Initiatives program to provide federal Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds to government agencies to implement eligible transportation projects. The Palm Beach TPA is currently seeking applications for award of Local Initiatives projects in fiscal year (FY) 2028.

SFRTA will apply for award of Local Initiatives program funds in the amount of \$3.5 million for the acquisition of new rolling stock. A resolution of support from the SFRTA Governing Board is required for the project to be considered for award (Exhibit 1).

Department: Planning and Capital Dev. Department Director: Loraine Cargill, AICP

Project Manager: Vicki Gatanis Interim Chief Contracting Officer: Janice Rustin

Finance Director: Diane Hernández Del Calvo

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: N/A

EXHIBITS ATTACHED: Exhibit 1 – Resolution No. 21-05

PALM BEACH TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY LOCAL INITIATIVES PROGRAM FUNDS – RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT

| Recommended by: Departme | 12/3/21 ent Director Date | Approved by: | 12/3/21 Date |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Authorized by: Executive | | Authorized by: Finance Dir | HG De (G/V) 12/3/21 rector Date |
| Approved as to Form by: | Sunt Dence General Counsel | <u>12/3/21</u> Date | |
| Board Action: Approved:Yes Vote: Unanimous | No | APPROV GOVERNING RECORDI | UE COPY VED BY SFRTA BOARD ON: 12/10/2 NG SECRETARY L. D. C. HDZ. DEL CALVO |
| Amended Motion: | 7 | | - |
| J.C. de ONA | Yes No | TIM RYAN | Yes No |
| MARIA G. MARINO | Yes No | JAMES A. SCOTT | YesNo |
| GERRY O'REILLY | Yes No | ROBERT B. SENDLER | No |
| CARLOS A. PENIN | Yes No | HAL R. VALECHE | YesNo |
| RAQUEL A. REGALADO | Yes No | ROBERT C. L. VAUGHAN | Yes No |

RESOLUTION NO. 21-05

A RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT OF THE SFRTA GOVERNING BOARD TO SEEK LOCAL INITIATIVES PROGRAM FUNDS FOR NEW ROLLING STOCK VIA AN APPLICATION THROUGH THE PALM BEACH TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

WHEREAS, the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (SFRTA) is a body politic and corporate, and an agency of the State of Florida established by Part II of Chapter 343, Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the Palm Beach Transportation Planning Agency (TPA) is a transportation policy-making agency created under federal law to direct urban transportation planning and the allocation of federal and state transportation funds; and

WHEREAS, the TPA administers the Local Initiatives (LI) program to provide federal Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds to governmental agencies to implement eligible transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, STP funds are administered to local governments through the State of Florida via an application through the Palm Beach TPA to the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) for eligible transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, the SFRTA desires to purchase new rolling stock capital equipment to increase capacity of the Tri-Rail Commuter Rail Service to address service and ridership increases, improve regional mobility and enhance the passenger experience at Tri-Rail stations in Palm Beach County; and

WHEREAS, the SFRTA Governing Board supports the application for federal STP funds through the Palm Beach TPA for the acquisition of new rolling stock, in the amount of \$3,500,000 (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the SFRTA will fund operations and maintenance of the Project for the duration of its useful life; and

WHEREAS, a resolution from the SFRTA stating support for the Project is required for the Project to be considered for award in Fiscal Year (FY) 2028.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT DULY RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY:

- Section 1. The recitals contained in the preamble to this Resolution are incorporated by reference.
- Section 2. The Governing Board of the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority supports the application for federal STP funds for the Project via applications through the Palm Beach TPA to FDOT and agrees to accept the award and execute the necessary documents following legal review and approval.
 - Section 3. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon adoption.

The foregoing Resolution was offered by Board Member Regulado who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Board Member Penin and upon being put to the vote, the votes were as follows:

Hal R. Valeche, Chair

Commissioner Raquel A. Regalado, Vice Chair

Commissioner Tim Ryan

Commissioner Maria G. Marino

Board Member J.C. de Ona

Board Member Robert B. Sendler

Board Member Gerry O'Reilly

Board Member Carlos A. Penin

Board Member James A. Scott

Board Member Robert C. L. Vaughan

The Chair thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this 10 day of December 2021.

SOUTH FLORIDA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Hal R Valeche SERTA Chair

Steven L. Abrams, SFRTA Executive Director

Approved as to form:

SFRTA General Counsel